

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/736,565	12/17/2003	Kun-Chuan Ho	3074/131	4505
7590 09/17/2004			EXAMINER	
Dennison, Schultz, Dougherty & MacDonald			WALCZAK, DAVID J	
Suite 105 1727 King Stre	et		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Alexandria, VA 22314			3751	
			DATE MAILED: 09/17/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/736,565	HO, KUN-CHUAN			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		David J. Walczak	3751			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE - Exte efter - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 D	<u>ecember 2003</u> .				
		action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	☑ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.					
	7) Claim(s) <u>2-8</u> is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 						
	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 					
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		,				
Attachment	(s)					
	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)			
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa				
Paper	No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kageyama in view of Refsdal and Kahn. In regard to claim 1, Kageyama discloses a mechanical crayon pen comprised of a barrel 12 having an open top and a bottom tip, a thrust device having an inner tube 22 movably mounted in the barrel wherein the inner tube has an outer diameter smaller than an inner diameter of the barrel such that a space is formed therebetween, a hollow shaft having a clutch ring 24 at the bottom thereof press fit into the inner tube and a spring 30 mounted around the hollow shaft between the inner tube and the clutch ring and a thrust actuator having a cap 14 with a central hole and rotatably mounted on the barrel 12 (elements 11 and 12 are threadedly connected and are thereby rotatably mounted to each other, see column 3, lines 11-13) and a push button 32 detachably and moveably mounted on the cap to push the inner tube downward. It is noted that the statements of intended use, i.e., "to hold the multiple crayon sticks" do not lend any patentably structure to the claim. i.e, the Kageyama device is capable of holding multiple crayon sticks in the space between the inner tube 22 and the barrel 12 (see Figure 1). Although the barrel in the Kageyama reference is

not disclosed as being transparent, attention is directed to the Refsdal and Kahn references, which disclose other mechanical pencils wherein various portions of the barrel are formed from transparent material in order to enable a user to view the interior of the device (see page 2, column1, lines 6-14 of Kahn and column 3, lines 23-32 of Refsdal). Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the barrel in the Kageyama device from a transparent material in order to enable a user to view the interior of the device.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The Harvey, Raimondi, Dorfman, Fleming and Zimdars references are cited for disclosing pencils having multiple crayon sticks therein.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David J. Walczak whose telephone number is 703-308-0608. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs, 6:30-5:00.

Art Unit: 3751

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg L. Huson can be reached on 703-308-2580. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David J. Walczak Primary Examiner Art Unit 3751

DJW 9/15/04